

Fusion of hyperspectral and multispectral infrared astronomical images

C. Guilloteau, T. Oberlin, O. Berné, N. Dobigeon IRIT, IRAP, Univ. Toulouse, France



Problem

The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) [1] will be launched in 2021 and will provide multispectral images on wide fields-of-view and hyperspectral images on small fields-of-view.

This contribution aims at developing a fusion method that will combine those images to reconstruct the astrophysical scene at high spatial *and* spectral resolutions.

Multispectral high spatial resolution, low spectral resolution



Proposed method

- Approximation in the Fourier domain to handle heavy wavelengthdependent 2D-spatial convolutions :
- $-\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}$ approximated by a term-wise multiplication.
- S expressed as a well-chosen sum of spatial frequencies.
- Vectorizing the problem yields to solve :

 $\min_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{z}$

 $-\mathbf{A}$, **b** appropriate combinations of operators, multi- and hyperspectral images.

 $-\mathbf{z}$ a lexicographically vectorized version of \mathbf{Z} .

Hyperspectral low spatial resolution, high spectral resolution



Reconstruction high spatial resolution, high spectral resolution

• Main challenges :

- Very large scale problem, considerably larger than problems encountered in Remote Sensing [2, 3].

– **Complexity of instruments** embedded in JWST.

Forward models of instruments

- \bullet ${\bf X}$ the astrophysical scene to be reconstructed.
- Measurement equations :

✓ A (about 10¹¹ entries) highly sparse and easily storable.
✓ Operators combined in a preprocessing step, instead of being applied at each iteration of a gradient descent algorithm.

• Resolution with a conjugate gradient algorithm.

Experimental Results

The proposed fusion method has been tested on simulated JWST data of the Orion bar.

• $\mu = 2 \cdot 10^4$.

 \bullet V chosen as the first components identified by a principal component analysis conducted on the observed hyperspectral image.

Original



Hyperspectral

Reconstruction

 $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{m}} \approx \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}) \quad \mathrm{and} \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{h}} \approx \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{S}$

 $-\mathcal{M}(\cdot)$: Spatial 2D convolution with spectrally dependent point spread functions (PSFs) [4].

 $-\mathbf{L}_{m}$: Spectral integration with spectral responses of filters of the instrument [5].

- $-\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$: Spatial 2D convolution with spectrally dependent PSFs [4].
- $-\mathbf{S}$: Spatial subsampling.

 $-\mathbf{L}_{h}$: Spectral transmission of the instrument.

Regularizations

• Spectral regularization : $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{VZ}$, low rank assumption considering that the high spatio-spectral reconstructed image lives in a lower dimension subspace.

• Spatial regularization : $\|\mathbf{ZD}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$, smooth content promoting regularization, with **D** first order finite differences operator.

Problem statement

• Assumption : white Gaussian noise (after data whitening and/or variance stabilization).







★ The continuum either over- or under-estimated.

Future Work

- Design a tailored regularization.
- Take into account the specific noise statistics.
- Consider the fusion problem when the field of view is different for each image.

References

- 1] J. Gardner et al., The James Webb Space Telescope, Space Science Reviews, 2006.
- 2] Q. Wei et al., Fast fusion of multiband images based on solving a Sylvester equation, IEEE Trans. Image Process., 2015.
- [3] N. Yokoya et al., Coupled nonnegative matrix factorization unmixing for hyperspectral and multispectral data fusion, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 2012.
- [4] P. Makidon et al., The JWST point spread function calculation methods and expected properties, STScI, Tech. Rep., 2007.
- [5] B. Hilbert et al., NIRCam filters weak lens and coronographic throughputs, STScI, Tech. Rep., 2016.